Chapter 11. Dynamic Programming

Xiaoxiao Hu

May 17, 2022

11.A. Life-cycle saving problem revisited

- Consider life-cycle saving problem
- Assume
 - 1. wage w_t is 0, i.e., $w_t = 0$ for all t;
 - 2. interest rate is 0, i.e., $r_t = 0$ for all t;
 - 3. utility function takes the form $u(c) = \ln(c)$;
 - 4. no discouting, i.e., $\beta = 1$;
 - 5. terminal stock $k_{T+1} = 0$.

Life-cycle saving problem (finite-horizon)

- Time is discrete: t = 0, 1, 2, ..., T
- Decision is on how much of income to spend on consumption in each period.
- Unspent income is saved and overspent income is on debt.
- $c_t \ge 0$: consumption in period t and
- k_{t+1}: accumulated savings or debts at the beginning of period t + 1.

Life-cycle saving problem (finite-horizon)

• Budget constraint in period t is

$$c_t + k_{t+1} = k_t$$

- $k_0 > 0$ given.
- $k_{T+1} = 0$ imposed.

Life-cycle saving problem (finite-horizon)

- Individual only derives utility from consumption and
- chooses consumption path to maximize total value of utilities in period t = 0:

$$U(c_0, c_1, ..., c_T) = \sum_{t=0}^T \ln(c_t).$$

• Maximization problem is:

$$\max_{\substack{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_T \\ k_1, k_2, \dots, k_T}} \sum_{t=0}^T \ln(c_t)$$

s.t. $c_t + k_{t+1} = k_t$ for all $t = 0, \dots, T$

• We could solve it using method in Chapter 10.

• Define Hamiltonian:

$$H(c_t, k_t, \pi_{t+1}, t) = \ln(c_t) + \pi_{t+1}(-c_t)$$

• FOCs:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial c_t} = \frac{1}{c_t} - \pi_{t+1} = 0 \text{ for all } t = 0, ..., T$$
$$\pi_{t+1} - \pi_t = -\frac{\partial H^*}{\partial k_t} = 0 \text{ for all } t = 1, ..., T$$
$$k_{t+1} - k_t = \frac{\partial H^*}{\partial \pi_{t+1}} = -c_t \text{ for all } t = 0, ..., T$$

1. Euler Equation:

$$c_{t+1} = c_t$$
 for all $t = 0, ..., T \implies c_t = c_0$ for all $t = 0, ..., T$

- 2. From constraints, we have $\sum_{t=0}^{T} c_t = k_0$.
- 3. From 1 and 2, solution is $c_t^* = \frac{k_0}{T+1}$ for all t = 0, ..., T and

$$k_{t+1}^* = \frac{T-t}{T+1}k_0$$
 for all $t = 0, ..., T-1$.

- Now let us look at the problem from a different angle.
- Define maximum value at t = 0 as a function of initial stocks:

$$V_0(k_0) = \max_{\substack{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_T\\k_1, k_2, \dots, k_T}} \{u(c_0) + u(c_1) + \dots + u(c_T)\}$$

subject to budget constraints for all t = 0, ..., T and terminal condition $k_{T+1} = 0$.

• By previously calculated optimal consumption path

$$c_t^* = \frac{k_0}{T+1}$$

for all t = 0, ..., T, we have

$$V_0(k_0) = (T+1)\ln\left(\frac{k_0}{T+1}\right).$$

• Given k_1 , we could similarly define maximum value at t = 1 as a function of k_1 :

$$V_1(k_1) = \max_{\substack{c_1, \dots, c_T \\ k_2, \dots, k_T}} \{ u(c_1) + u(c_2) + \dots + u(c_T) \}$$

subject to budget constraints for all t = 1, ..., T and terminal condition $k_{T+1} = 0$.

- We could use maximum principle to solve this problem.
- Maximum value is $V_1(k_1) = T \ln \left(\frac{k_1}{T}\right)$.

• Next, consider a two-period problem:

$$W(k_0) = \max_{c_0,k_1} \{ \ln(c_0) + V_1(k_1) \}$$
 s.t. $c_0 + k_1 = k_0$

• Solving the problem, we have

$$W(k_0) = (T+1)\ln\left(\frac{k_0}{T+1}\right) = V_0(k_0)$$

• It suggests:

$$V_0(k_0) = \max_{c_0,k_1} \{\ln(c_0) + V_1(k_1)\}$$
 s.t. $c_0 + k_1 = k_0$

• Similarly, we could define

$$V_2(k_2) = \max_{\substack{c_2, \dots, c_T \\ k_3, \dots, k_T}} \{ u(c_2) + u(c_3) + \dots + u(c_T) \}$$

subject to budget constraints for all t = 2, ..., T and terminal condition $k_{T+1} = 0$,

 $\bullet\,$ and verify

$$V_1(k_1) = \max_{c_1,k_2} \{ \ln(c_1) + V_2(k_2) \}$$
 s.t. $c_1 + k_2 = k_1$

• This argument works for all t = 0, ..., T - 1:

$$V_t(k_t) = \max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} \{ \ln(c_t) + V_{t+1}(k_{t+1}) \}$$

s.t.
$$c_t + k_{t+1} = k_t$$

- This equation, called Bellman Equation, expresses the value function as a combination of a flow payoff and a (discounted) continuation payoff.
- Such a method of optimization over time as a succession of static programming problems is called Dynamic Programming.

Life-cycle saving problem (infinite-horizon)

- Bellman Equation holds for infinite-horizon problems as well.
- As an example, we consider an infinite-horizon version of this simplified life-cycle saving problem.

Life-cycle saving problem (infinite-horizon)

- For the problem to be well-defined, we need discounting.
- Let discount factor be $\beta \in (0, 1)$.
- So objective function becomes

$$U(c) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ln(c_t).$$

• Budget constraint in period t is still

$$c_t + k_{t+1} = k_t.$$

• $k_0 > 0$ given.

• Define Hamiltonian:

$$H(c_t, k_t, \pi_t, t) = \beta^t \ln(c_t) + \pi_{t+1}(-c_t)$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial c_t} = \beta^t \frac{1}{c_t} - \pi_{t+1} = 0 \text{ for all } t = 0, ..., T$$
$$\pi_{t+1} - \pi_t = -\frac{\partial H^*}{\partial k_t} = 0 \text{ for all } t = 1, ..., T$$
$$k_{t+1} - k_t = \frac{\partial H^*}{\partial \pi_{t+1}} = -c_t \text{ for all } t = 0, ..., T$$

• Transversality condition: $\lim_{T\to\infty} \pi_{T+1}k_{t+1} = 0$

17

1. Euler Equation:

$$c_{t+1} = \beta c_t \text{ for all } t = 0, ..., T$$
$$\implies c_t = \beta^t c_0 \text{ for all } t = 0, ..., T$$

- 2. From constraints: $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_t + \lim_{T \to \infty} k_{T+1} = k_0$.
- 3. $\pi_{t+1} = \beta^t / c_t$ and transversality condition

•
$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\beta^T k_{T+1}}{c_T} = 0$$

• By 1,
$$c_T = \beta^T c_0$$
.

• So, we have $\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{k_{T+1}}{c_0} = 0 \implies \lim_{T\to\infty} k_{T+1} = 0.$

4. From 1, 2 and 3,

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t c_0 = k_0 \implies c_0 = (1-\beta)k_0$$

•
$$c_t = \beta^t (1 - \beta) k_0$$
 and $k_{t+1} = \beta^{t+1} k_0$.

- In each period t, $c_t = (1 \beta)k_t$ and $k_{t+1} = \beta k_t$.
- Above equations that express c_t and k_{t+1} as functions

of k_t are called policy functions.

Similar to finite-horizon case, we show that Bellman Equation holds:

$$V_t(k_t) = \max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} \{ \ln(c_t) + \beta V_{t+1}(k_{t+1}) \}$$

s.t. $c_t + k_{t+1} = k_t$

In period t, value function is

$$V_t(k_t) = \max_{\substack{\{c_{t+j}\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \\ \{k_{t+j+1}\}_{j=0}^{\infty}}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j \ln(c_{t+j})$$

subject to budget constraints

$$c_{t+j} + k_{t+j+1} = k_{t+j}$$
 for all $j \ge 0$.

• We could drop time subscript t in V_t since functional forms of value functions are same in each period.

Solving it using maximum principle, we have

$$c_{t+j} = \beta^j (1-\beta) k_t,$$

which gives value function

$$V(k_t) = \frac{\ln(1-\beta) + \ln(k_t)}{1-\beta} + \frac{\beta \ln(\beta)}{(1-\beta)^2}.$$

• Now define

$$W(k_t) = \max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} \{ \ln(c_t) + \beta V(k_{t+1}) \}$$

s.t. $c_t + k_{t+1} = k_t$

• Solving the problem, we have

$$W(k_t) = \frac{\ln(1-\beta) + \ln(k_t)}{1-\beta} + \frac{\beta \ln(\beta)}{(1-\beta)^2} = V(k_t).$$

• Thus, Bellman Equation holds.

- We will briefly show that Bellman Equation holds in a general setting.
 - solution to initial problem solves Bellman equation.
 - solution to Bellman Equation is also a solution to initial problem.
- Our discussions will be focused on infinite-horizon discretetime models.
- In fact, dynamic programming is especially useful for when time is discrete (and there is uncertainty).

We reformulate initial problem into a Sequence Problem.

Definition (Sequence Problem). Sequence problem is of the form:

$$V(x_0) = \sup_{\{x_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t F(x_t, x_{t+1})$$
(SP)
s.t. $x_{t+1} \in \Gamma(x_t)$ for all $t = 0, 1, 2, ...$
 $x_0 \in X$ given.

Formulating Life-cycle saving problem (infinite-horizon) into a sequence problem, we have:

$$V(k_0) = \sup_{\{k_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ln(k_t - k_{t+1})$$

s.t. $k_{t+1} \in [0, k_t] \equiv \Gamma(k_t)$ for all $t = 0, 1, 2, ...$
 $k_0 > 0$ given.

Definition 11.B.1 (Bellman Equation).

$$V(x_t) = \sup_{x_{t+1} \in \Gamma(x_t)} \{ F(x_t, x_{t+1}) + \beta V(x_{t+1}) \} \text{ for all } x_t \in X$$
(BE)

- Bellman equation expresses value function as a combination of a flow payoff $F(x_t, x_{t+1})$ and a discounted continuation payoff $\beta V(x_{t+1})$.
- We call $V(\cdot)$ solution to Bellman equation.¹

¹We haven't yet demonstrated that a solution $V(\cdot)$ exists. 27

We briefly show that

- value function defined by sequence problem is also solution to Bellman equation and
- vice versa (with an additional condition

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta^n V(x_n) = 0 \text{ for any feasible } x \text{ sequences}).$

11.C. Solving Bellman equation

There are in general three methods to solve Bellman equation:

- Guess and verify
- Iterate functional operator analytically
- Iterate functional operator numerically (We will not cover this method in this course.)

11.C.1. Guess and verify

- Let us reconsider infinite-horizon life-cycle saving.
- Bellman equation:

$$V(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in [0,k_t]} \{ \ln(k_t - k_{t+1}) + \beta V(k_{t+1}) \}.$$

- Solution must be interior.
- Two conditions:

1. FOC:
$$-\frac{1}{k_t - k_{t+1}} + \beta V'(k_{t+1}) = 0.$$

2. Envelope theorem: $V'(k_t) = \frac{1}{k_t - k_{t+1}}$.

Guess the value function

• Guess value function takes the form:

```
V(k) = a + b\ln(k),
```

where a and b are constants to be determined.

• We try this form because utility function is of log form.

Guess the policy function

- Alternatively, we could also guess the form of the policy function.
- Guess $k_{t+1} = \theta k_t$, where θ is a constant to be determined.

11.C.2. Iterate functional operator analytically

How to do it

- Start with any initial guess, for example, $V_0(k) = 0$
- First iteration: $V_1(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in [0,k_t]} \{ \ln(k_t k_{t+1}) \}$

- Solution is $V_1(k_t) = \ln(k_t)$

- Second iteration: $V_2(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1}} \{ \ln(k_t k_{t+1}) + \beta \ln(k_{t+1}) \}$
 - Solution is $V_2(k_t) = \text{some constant} + (1 + \beta) \ln(k_t)$
- Third iteration: $V_3(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1}} \left\{ \ln(k_t k_{t+1}) + \beta V_2(k_t) \right\}$
 - Solution is $V_3(k_t)$ = some constant + $(1+\beta+\beta^2)\ln(k_t)$

Iterate functional operator analytically

• Continuing iteration, eventually, we will obtain

$$V(k_t) = \text{some constant} + \frac{1}{1-\beta} \ln(k_t)$$

• Since

$$V(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in [0, k_t]} \left\{ \ln(k_t - k_{t+1}) + \beta V(k_{t+1}) \right\}$$

we get $k_{t+1} = \beta k_t$.

• After obtaining policy function, we could get value function.

Iterate functional operator analytically

- In this example, we have shown that $\lim_{n\to\infty} V_n \to V$ when $V_0(k) = 0$.
- In fact, we will always get convergence independent of choice of V_0 .
- Theory will be briefly discussed later.

Iterate functional operator analytically

- Above iteration method could be described in a more convenient way.
- For any function $w : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, we can define a new function $Bw : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(Bw)(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in [0,k_t]} \Big\{ \ln(k_t - k_{t+1}) + \beta w(k_{t+1}) \Big\}.$$

Iterate functional operator analytically

- When we use this notation, previous method is equivalent to choosing a function V_0 and studying sequence $\{V_n\}$ defined by $V_{n+1} = BV_n$ for n = 0, 1, 2,
- Goal is to show that this sequence of functions converge

to limit function V that satisfies

$$V(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in [0,k_t]} \Big\{ \ln(k_t - k_{t+1}) + \beta V(k_{t+1}) \Big\}.$$

Iterate functional operator analytically

- Or equivalently, we could view *B* as a mapping from some set of functions into itself.
- Then, what we are looking for is a fixed point of mapping B, that is, a function V that satisfies V = BV.
- Operator B is called Bellman operator.

Iterate functional operator analytically

• In a general setting, Bellman operator:

$$(Bw)(x_t) = \sup_{x_{t+1} \in \Gamma(x_t)} \{F(x_t, x_{t+1}) + \beta w(x_{t+1})\} \text{ for all } x_t \in X$$

- What we do is to pick some w and iterate $B^n w$ until convergence.
 - (Uniform) convergence of a sequence of functions is defined by convergence in sup-norm.

Short answer is: B is a contraction mapping.

Definition 11.C.1 (Contraction mapping). Let (S, ρ) be a metric space and $T: S \to S$ be a function mapping S into itself. T is a contraction mapping (with modulus β) if for some $\beta \in (0, 1), \rho(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta \rho(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in S$.

In plain words, T is a contraction mapping if operating Ton any two elements in S moves them strictly closer to each other.

For our result, we need following two results:

- 1. Contraction Mapping Theorem (Theorem 11.C.2): a fixed point theorem
- 2. Blackwell's sufficient conditions (Theorem 11.C.2): sufficient conditions for an operator to be a contraction mapping

Theorem (Contraction Mapping Theorem (Stokey, Lucas & Prescott Theorem 3.2)). If (S, ρ) is a complete metric space and $T: S \to S$ is a contraction mapping with modulus β , then

a. T has exactly one fixed point v in S, and

b. for any $v_0 \in S$, $\rho(T^n v_0, v) \le \beta^n \rho(v_0, v)$, n = 0, 1, 2, ...

Theorem (Blackwell's sufficient conditions for a contraction (SLP Theorem 3.3)). Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$, and let B(X) be a space of bounded functions $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, with the sup norm. Let $T : B(X) \to B(X)$ be an operator satisfying

- a. (monotonicity) $f, g \in B(X)$ and $f(x) \leq g(x)$, for all $x \in X$, implies $(Tf)(x) \leq (Tg)(x)$, for all $x \in X$;
- b. (discounting) there exists some $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that $[T(f+a)](x) \leq (Tf)(x) + \beta a$, all $f \in B(X), a \geq 0, x \in X$.

Then T is a contraction with modulus β .

Remark. Blackwell's sufficient conditions are only sufficient

but not necessary: some contraction mappings do not satisfy these sufficient conditions.

Example. Check Blackwell sufficient conditions for life-cycle saving problem:

$$(Bw)(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in [0,k_t]} \left\{ \ln(k_t - k_{t+1}) + \beta w(k_{t+1}) \right\}$$

- By Blackwell's sufficient conditions (Theorem 11.C.2), *B* is a contraction mapping.
- By Contraction Mapping Theorem (Theorem 11.C.2), *B* has a unique fixed point, which could be reached from any initial point.

Remark. This result implies that the Bellman equation has a unique solution.

11.D. Examples

11.D.1. Example 1: Optimal growth model

Finite-horizon, backward induction

Consider social planner's problem:

$$\max_{\substack{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^T \\ \{k_t\}_{t=1}^T}} \sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t \ln(c_t)$$

s.t.
$$c_t + k_{t+1} = k_t^{\alpha}$$
 for all $t = 0, ..., T$

 $k_0 > 0$ is given and the terminal capital $k_{T+1} = 0$.

Finite-horizon, backward induction

- We will apply dynamic programming to solve T = 2.
- Method of solving the problem extends to all finite T.
- We solve the problem by Backward Induction.

Consider infinite-horizon version:

$$\max_{\substack{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \\ \{k_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ln(c_t)$$

s.t.
$$c_t + k_{t+1} = k_t^{\alpha}$$
 for all $t = 0, 1, 2, ...$

 $k_0 > 0$ given.

• Bellman equation is

$$V(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in [0,k_t^{\alpha}]} \{ \ln(k_t^{\alpha} - k_{t+1})) + \beta V(k_{t+1}) \}.$$

1. FOC:

$$-\frac{1}{k_t^{\alpha} - k_{t+1}} + \beta V'(k_{t+1}) = 0.$$

2. Envelope theorem:

$$V'(k_t) = \frac{\alpha k_t^{\alpha - 1}}{k_t^{\alpha} - k_{t+1}}$$

We apply guess and verify method.

- Guess value function: $V(k) = a + b \ln(k)$
- Guess policy function: $k_{t+1} = \theta f(k_t) = \theta k_t^{\alpha}$

- We could obtain same result by iterating functional operator analytically.
- For example, try initial guess $V_0(k_t) = 0$.

- Dynamic programming is also applicable to stochastic problems.
- Social planner's problem is modified:

$$\max_{\substack{\{c_t(z_t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}\\\{k_t(z_t)\}_{t=1}^{\infty}}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ln(c_t)$$
(11.1)

s.t. $c_t + k_{t+1} = z_t k_t^{\alpha}$ for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

 $k_0 > 0$ given.

- $\{z_t\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. r.v. with $\mathbb{E}_0(\ln(z_t)) = \mu$.
- At the beginning of period t, exogenous shock z_t is realized.
- Thus when making period t decision, ocial planner knows (k_t, z_t) and accordingly current output $z_t k_t^{\alpha}$.
- (k_t, z_t) is called state of the economy.
- Note that now olution is expressed in terms of contingency plans, that is, c_t and k_{t+1} are functions of z_t.

- Problem could still be equivalently expressed using recursive formulation.
- Bellman equation is:

$$V(k_t, z_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in [0, z_t k_t^{\alpha}]} \{ \ln(z_t k_t^{\alpha} - k_{t+1})) + \beta \mathbb{E}_t V(k_{t+1}, z_{t+1}) \}.$$

1. FOC:

$$-\frac{1}{z_t k_t^{\alpha} - k_{t+1}} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\partial V(k_{t+1}, z_{t+1})}{\partial k_{t+1}} = 0.$$

2. Envelope theorem:

$$\frac{\partial V(k_t, z_t)}{\partial k_t} = \frac{\alpha z_t k_t^{\alpha - 1}}{z_t k_t^{\alpha} - k_{t+1}}.$$

Similar to deterministic model,

- Guess value function: $V(k) = a + b \ln(k) + c \ln(z)$
- Guess policy function: $k_{t+1} = \theta f(k_t) = \theta z_t k_t^{\alpha}$

11.D.2. Example 2: Job market search

(Dixit Example 1 + unemployment compensation)

- There is a whole spectrum of jobs paying different wages.
- CDF is $\Phi(w)$.
- Corresponding PDF is $\phi(w) = \Phi'(w)$.

Job market search

- A worker must engage in search to find out how much a particular job pays.
- Each period, an unemployed worker draws w.
- He could either accept or reject.
- If reject, then worker stays unemployed and waits until next period to draw another wage offer.
- Worker receives unemployment compensation *c* for each of unemployed period.
- Discount factor is β .

11.D.3. Example 3: Saving under uncertainty (Dixit Example 2)

- Consider a consumer with wealth W that earns a random total return (principal plus interest) of r per period, and no other income.
 - Starting period t with wealth W_t , if consumer consumes C_t and saves $W_t - C_t$, his random wealth at start of next period will be $W_{t+1} = r_{t+1}(W_t - C_t)$.
- Note that r_{t+1} is not realized when making consumption decision.

Saving under uncertainty

• Consumption of C_t in any period gives him utility

$$U(C) = \frac{C^{1-\varepsilon}}{(1-\varepsilon)}$$
, with $\varepsilon > 0$.

• Discount factor is β .